

CERTIFICATE OF SURVEY NO. 1444

A DEPENDENT RESURVEY AND ALIQUOT PART SUBDIVISION OF THE PORTION OF SECTION 2 T. 3 S., R.9 E., P.M., PARK COUNTY, MONTANA, SITUATED WEST OF THE YELLOWSTONE RIVER

History of Original Surveys

The line between Tps. 2 and 3 S. of R.9 E. was surveyed by Balar & Thomas in 1873, eastward to the left bank of the fellowatone River of which point the line was terminoted. This survey established the northwest corrar, noth one-quarter corrare, and a meander corrare cast of the one-quarter corrare in the north boundary of section 2.

Fractional T.3 S., R.9 E. was surveyed by Post & Koch in 1874. That survey included the survey of the west boundary of section 2. The NW 1/4 of section 2 was platted based on Post & Koch's survey.

The line between Tps. 2 and 3 S. was continued eastward across the Yellowstone River to the corner of Tps. 2 and 3 S., Rs. 9 and 10 E. by Deutsch in 1886. Deutsch also subdivided the remaining fractional T.J. S., R.9 E. in 1886, including the south and east boundaries and meanders of section 2.

The southerly mile between Rs. 9 and 10 E. through T. 2 S. was retraced by Johnson in 1912, and Deutsch's corner of Tips. 2 and 3 S., Rs. 9 and 10 E. remonumented.

The easterly one and one half miles between Tps. 2 and 3 S., R. 9 E. was retraced and resurveyed by Curming in 1917. Nore of Deutch's monuments were recovered between the toemship corner remnonumented by Johnson and the one-quarter corner set by Baker and Thomas in 1874. Curmining set a new meander corner between sections 2 and 35 on the west bank of the Telowstore River.

Surveyor's Notes

Surregors invest 1. I have found no record of any of the original corners set by Deutach being recovered since Johnson recovered the HE corner of TJ. 5., R.9 E in 1912, except for several corners purportedly recovered in the lota 1970's and early 1980's which recoveries were disputed by the BLM (see letter from D. Motes in CDR file for TJ. 5., R.9 E). Several other mountersh, and mothing Deutsch's recoverol (Deutsch generolity set motales wooden post), have been recovered near the 'relevatione lifer's which may be perpetuations of Deutsch's survey, but no such monuments have been recovered in the mounthouse termin uttries from the river. In 1886 Deutsch found no evidence of Post & Koch's 1874 survey from the corner of sections 14, 15, 22 and 23 northward 3 miles to the corner of sections 7, 34 & 35 on the tomship line, set in 1995 I movement be meritian stones and a source of the line matching Post & Koch's 1874 record. Deutsch also mode no merition of recovering the one-quorter corner between sections 2 and 35 when he extended the tomship line across the Tellowine for Key, red Curnning found that corner (but none of Deutsch's) in 1917.

BLM has recently performed several surveys in T.3 S., R.9 E. and has concluded that Dedash probably did not carry his survey into the maantainaue terrain need of the river, and that he apparently surveyed the portions of the township east and west of the here as separate units. (The memo by R.Wayne Williano dated 3/12/87)

Where your one sets are the ord into the properties times, (the interior by humples ensert 000kb 0/12/01/) My retroements in the northwestern parties of the township support BLM's assessment. I have concluded that betters probably roan a random line west of the tokinotion, probably olong either the nallood grade or he wagon road, and stubbed out meander corners to the cast and quarter corners to the west. This would explain both the tage sest-west discrepancies in measurement and the subsequent placement (menoumeritabil) and participant monuments along the firer where priods surveyors are likely to have worked early in this century and recovered the subbed out corners. As such, how accepted the one-quarter corner batewas eaching 2 and 3 (fundamitten note in QL record of Curnning's 1917 survey, Recorder's Patt 292, CCR 1/1), the one-quarter corner between sections 2 and 11 (CCR 1/1 and 1/17), and the mender corner between sections 2 and 31 (CCR 1/18) as the most probable original locations of those respective corners.

most produce organic locations of those respective content. Since the partial of section 2 easis of the Yellowniane beam no apparent relationship to that west of the rhver, the savey cost of the rhver was not used in determining the positions of the subdivisional lines of section 2 west of the rhver. The scalt—set center line of section 2, of the positions of the north and south lines of the sections of the rhver. The scalt—set center line of section 2, of the positions of the north and south lines of the section west of the rhver. This alignment complete this has Posit & Kach measurement of 300.00 c.h. between the northeast the section. (New) and with the line recovered) and the Deutsch record of 40.00 c.h. between the northeast comer on the seat one-quarter corner of the section (the only record on that line, the southwast section corner folling in the rhver), and with the mean bearing of the barry south the cornect is 184 40.00 c.h. as the measurements of the north half—mile on the seat and west sides of the action, the north // 6 line of the section, as those hervon, is of the section (the north worth we control line cornecting but the rhver) and with the nean bearing of the barry of the compared in the control line of the section. The north boundary of the section (were of the river) and the compared in the compared in the orth position of the section.

2. The east boundary of the property being surveyed is the westerly right-of-may of the Park Branch milinod, and the "excepted" tracks recorded in V.57, p.451 and V.58, p.357, the latter being subject to the correction deed in V.60, p.55. Coreful inspection of those deeds reveals that they are all for the same single tract of land. That track has used period the correction of the deed reveals that they are all for the same single tract of land. That track has used that they are all for the same single tract of land. That track has used into Tract and B of Recorder Plat Ka. 352, and the Family Convergence Tract and Remainder shown on Certificate of Survey No. 1419, as well as a reproduction of other adder surveys to the south. These tracts, as shown on C/S 1419, ore accepted as being the true location of the "exception" tract from the property being surveyed.

 $c_{J,S}$ (+):g, are accepted as being the true location of the exception' tract from the property being surveyed. 3. The railroad tracks, which defined the location of the right-of-way, have now been removed and were not referenced to provide a permanent basis for neceting the right-of-way part of the time removal. Certificate of Survey No. 1419, howing been prepared with intervence to several clare surveys participated the tracks were said in place, opposer to be the most califoldil reproduction of those surveys possible of this date, and therefore the most occurrite representation of the railmost right-of-way in that vicinity, the southern and of the property being surveyed. At the northern end of the property the hase head back clared to Defificate points of Survey No. 251 and 654 was used to define the westery edge of the railmost right-of-way. That monument was in place prior to the removal of the tracks and was found to be any 0.21 ft off of a structify. The adversaries the one-quature corner and meender corner on the north boundary of section 2. A southerly estention of the right-of-way thus defined additionally agrees with the location of the tracks provided by CCR 1/17 and 1/18, within one-had foot perpendicular to the track adjamment, at the south boundary of the section.

Since the "exception" to the property being surveyed included a continuous strip along the railroad tracks, the hidus identified between the Remainder tract of C/S 1419 and Tract A of Rescarder's Pint No. 362 does not affect the property being surveyed except in determining the location of the intersection of the E-W center of weakon line with the weatery boundary of that "exception". That westery boundary scale stabilished by computing the position of the northwest conter of the tract shown on Recorder's Pint No. 15 by record distance-distance intersect from the recovered southeest conter of the tract shown on Recorder's Pint No. 15 by record distance-distance intersect from the recovered southeest conter of the tract shown on the tract, then outsing (Compass Rule) the record curves a countest conter of the tract shown on the tract, then outsing (Compass Rule) the record curves a counter with the recovered southeest conter of the Remainder tract of C/S 1419. Minterse the one-minitory of the initial to the curve the southerset of the tract shown of the position of the onter tract shown of the tract shown of the tract shown of the tract shown on the tract shown of the tr

PURPOSE OF SURVEY

This survey was performed to locate and monument the boundaries of the parcels of land described on Page 1477 of Roll 117 of deeds, records of Park County, Montana.

BASIS OF BEARINGS

The bearings on this certificate are based on the mean of ten (10) hour angle solar observations as described on Certificate of Survey No. 1333, records of Park County. Bearings carried from the West line of Section 2 are based on observed angular relicionships and have not been adjusted for convergency.

CERTIFICATE OF SURVEYOR

I, Warren P. Latvala, Montana Professional Land Surveyor License No. 6305LS, hereby certify that the survey shown hereon was performed under *p*ay direct supervision in July and August of 1997.

Worren P. Latvola, P.L.S. Dote

CERTIFICATE OF OWNER

I, Martinda A. Abdallah, hereby cerkly thet I am the owner of the lands described on page 1477 of Roll 117 of Park County deeds, and that I have caused the survey shown hereon to be performed to locate and monument the boundaries of those properties. No division of land is effected by this survey therefore it is second from review or a sub-function.

<u> Marinda A Abdalah</u>

Subscribed and sworn before me this _____ day of _____, 1997.

Notary Public for the State of 19 100

residing at _____. My commission expires _____

Lierry Signatin, Chairmon of the Park County Board of Commissioners, hereby certify that this certificate has been duty reviewed and found to conform with the provisions of Tible 76, M.C.A. and the Park County revolution regarding that act.

Aliquot part corner markings & accessories

\$35	fram which, a fir, 6 ins. diam, bears N.197E, 32.0 ft.diaft, marked W 1/16 S35 BT, and a fir, 6 ins. diam, bears S.587W, cl.3.8 ft.diaft, marked W 1/16 S2 BT. Roised a collar of stone, 2 ft. diam., around monument.
1997	

- T3S R9E from which, a fir, 4 ins. aliam, beans S.897E, 14,5 ft dist., and a fir, 6 ins. C 1/4 S2 diam., beans N.207W, 8,9 ft dist., each marked C 1/4 S2 BT. 1997 Raised a collor of stone, 1 1/2 ft. diam., around monument.
- from which, a fir, 6 ins. diam, bears N.25W., 15.6 ft dist., and a fir, 4 ins. diam., bears S.20W., 14.4 ft dist.,each marked CW 1/16 S2 BT. W 1/16 C----C S2 1997
- from which, a fir, 6 ins. diam, bears N.627W., 22.5 ft dist., and a cedar, 6 ins. diam., bears N.307E., 9.0 ft dist., each marked CN 1/16 52 87. Raised a collar of stone, 2 ft. diam., around monument. N 1/16 S2 1997
- from which, a fir, 5 ins. diam, bears N.717W, 11.6 ft dist., and a fir, 10 ins. diam., bears N.85°E., 28.2 ft dist., each marked NW 1/16 S2 BT. Raised a collar of stone, 1 1/2 ft. diam., around monument. NW 1/16 S2 1997



CS S