

County	Park	Upstream River Mile	514.6
Classification	PCM: Partially confined meandering	Downstream River Mile	511
General Location	To downstream of Deep Creek; Weeping wall, Jumpin	Length	3.60 mi (5.79 km)

General Comments

Narrative Summary

Reach PC10 extends from the Pine Creek Bridge to below the mouth of Deep Creek. The reach is approximately 3.5 miles long, extending from RM 511.0 to RM 514.5. This is an especially unique section of the Yellowstone River where spring creeks that parallel the channel support a nationally recognized cold water fishery. The reach is also semi-confined by very coarse grained glacial alluvial terraces. Sediment recruitment from the terraces drives bar formation, resulting in locally rapid bank migration, and in some cases, threats to the spring creeks. This was exemplified during the 1996/1997 floods, when the river migrated tens of feet into high glacial terraces, delivering vast amounts of gravel to the channel. At one location near the Deep Creek confluence, a home on a ~30 foot high glacial terrace was undermined and deliberately burnt down to prevent its collapse into the river. Just downstream of this site, rapid point bar growth drove westward channel migration towards a prized spring creek, which created a real risk of Yellowstone River avulsion into that channel. Efforts to prevent an avulsion included sediment removal from the rapidly enlarging point bar, bank protection, and construction of a long floodplain dike between the spring creek and the river. This single bendway experienced approximately 750 feet of migration between 1948 and 1999, which translates to an average migration rate of 14.7 feet per year.

Approximately 14 percent of the bankline is armored, primarily by rock riprap (3,753 feet) and flow deflectors (1,197 feet). Between 2001 and 2011, the net length of bank armor increased by 1,037 feet, although 50 feet of flow deflectors were eroded out during that time. There are also over two miles of floodplain dikes in the reach, most of which run parallel to the river to isolate the spring creeks. Several thousand feet of side channels have been blocked in Reach PC10; one large channel that was blocked prior to 1950 extends downstream for several thousand feet into Reach PC11. There is a high concentration of emergent wetlands in these abandoned side channels.

The total bankfull channel area in Reach PC10 increased from 151 acres in 1950 to 191 acres in 2001, suggesting channel enlargement, either due to floods or flow concentrations in the main channel due to side channel loss and diking.

Land uses in Reach PC10 include irrigated ground, multi-use (non-irrigated and undeveloped), and exurban residential development. Whereas in 1950 there were 512 acres under flood irrigation, by 2011 that had been reduced to 17 acres. The expansion of irrigation during that time included 136 acres of sprinkler, and another 56 acres of pivot irrigation. Most of the land, over 900 acres, is used as non-irrigated agricultural land. There has also been about 180 acres of exurban development in Reach PC10, much of which is part of the Jumping Rainbow Ranch downstream of Deep Creek. Some of this development, such as the location of the house that was undermined in 1997, is in the Channel Migration Zone. In the upstream portion the reach, a gravel pit on a large point bar (RM 513.8) encroaches into the Channel Migration Zone. Because of the extensive levee construction in the reach to protect spring creeks, 38 percent of the CMZ has been restricted from the natural CMZ footprint. The reach is very popular for recreational boating and fishing; the Pine Creek Fishing Access Site is located just below the Pine Creek Bridge on the left bank.

This area of the upper Yellowstone River has seen three severe floods in the last 20 years. The 1996 and 1997 floods were very damaging, early-June events that peaked at 37,100 and 38,000 cfs, respectively. At the time, these were considered to be sequential 100-year floods. Then in late June of 2011, the river peaked at 40,600 cfs, which is currently the flood of record at Livingston. This flood exceeded a 100-year event, with both the 1996/1997 events considered to have exceeded a 75-year flood.

A hydrologic evaluation of flow depletions indicates that flow alterations over the last century have been relatively small in this reach. The biggest influence has been on low flows: severe low flows described as 7Q10 (the lowest average 7-day flow anticipated every ten years) for summer months has dropped from an estimated 1,530 cfs to 1,480 cfs with human development, a reduction of 3.3 percent. More typical summer low flows, described as the summer 95% flow duration, have dropped from 1,760 cfs under unregulated conditions to 1,680 cfs under regulated conditions at the Livingston gage, a reduction of 4.6 percent.

CEA-Related observations in Reach PC10 include:

- Extensive dike construction Floodplain dikes constructed to protect spring creek fisheries have narrowed the active meander corridor
- Exurban encroachment into the Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) has occurred on terrace surfaces
- Gravel pit and recreational pond development in a meander core may contribute to avulsion risk in the reach.
- Rapid dike construction and armoring following major flooding (1996/1997).
- Increase in primary channel length (sinuosity) with loss of side channels.
- Isolation of 38 percent of the CMZ, mostly avulsion hazard areas that support spring creeks.

Recommended Practices (may include Yellowstone River Recommended Practices--YRRPs) for Reach PC10 include:

- Selective side channel restoration at RM 511.5 (may be difficult to reactivate side channels without affecting developed spring creek fishery)
- CMZ Management due to current restriction of 38 percent of the Channel Migration Zone

PHYSICAL FEATURES MAP (2011)



HYDROLOGIC SUMMARY

Hydrologic data available for the Reach Narratives include data from representative gaging stations, modeling from the COE from the Big Horn river upstream, and modeling by the USGS for the Big Horn River to the Missouri River confluence. Gaging stations that best represent the watershed area within any reach are used to describe the flood history within the reach. Hydrology modeling results generated for all reaches provides unregulated and regulated flow values. Seasonal and annual flow duration data generated by the USGS are available for reaches C10 through D13.

Gage Representation (Gage-Based): Livingston

Flood History

Year	Date	Flow on Date	Return Interval	Gage No	Downstream Gage	Upstream Gage
1971	Jun 23	29,200	10-25 yr		6192500	6191500
1902	Jun 11	30,100	10-25 yr		Livingston	Corwin Springs
1943	Jun 20	30,600	10-25 yr		1929-2015	1890-2012
1974	Jun 17	36,300	50-100 yr		Distance To (miles)	4.4
1996	Jun 10	37,100	50-100 yr			42.6
1997	Jun 6	38,000	50-100 yr			
2011	Jun 30	40,600	>100-yr			

Discharge

	1.01 Yr	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	50 Yr	100 Yr	500 Yr	7Q10 Summer	95% Sum. Duration
Unregulated	9,820	19,500	24,600	27,800	34,200	36,800	42,800	1,530	1,760
Regulated	9,760	19,400	24,500	27,700	34,100	36,800	42,800	1,480	1,680
% Change	-0.61%	-0.51%	-0.41%	-0.36%	-0.29%	0.00%	0.00%	-3.27%	-4.55%

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

A variety of aerial photographic sources provide the basis for much of the Cumulative Effects Assessment analysis. The table below lists the air photos compiled for the reach and the associated discharge at the most representative USGS gaging station.

	Source	Acquisition Date	Type	Scale	Gage	Discharge
1948	DNR		B/W			
2005	NAIP	08/26/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	2320
2005	NAIP	08/26/2005	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	2320
2009	NAIP	7/23/2009	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	6770
2011	NAIP	9/4/2011	Color	1-meter pixels	6192500	3960
2013	NAIP	09/11/2013	color	1-meter pixels	6192500	

PHYSICAL FEATURES

Several efforts to capture the types and extents of physical features in the corridor have been generated by the CEA study. The 2001 Physical Features Inventory was performed through helicopter/video Rapid Aerial Assessment by the NRCS (NRCS, 2001) and did not include Park County. This inventory includes point and linear features that represent bank armor, irrigation structures, transportation encroachments, and areas of accelerated erosion. Bank armor mapped in the 2001 inventory only reflects features on the active channel margin, and thus excludes off-channel features on historic side channels. Some floodplain restriction features such as dikes and levees in the 2001 Physical Features Inventory may extend well beyond the active channel. In 2013, the 2001 inventory was revised to include Park County. At that time, some attribute inconsistencies in the original data were addressed. This dataset was then updated to reflect conditions in the 2011 NAIP imagery.

For Stillwater, Yellowstone and Dawson Counties, a Physical Features Timeline was generated that includes additional mapping based on aerial photography and assigns approximate dates of feature construction based on observed presence/absence in historic imagery between the 1950s and 2005 (DTM and AGI, 2008). The Physical Features Timeline contains features that were not mapped in the 2001 inventory (e.g. bank armor abandoned in floodplain areas by 2001). As such the total bank armor extent in the 2005 data is commonly greater than that identified in 2001 or 2013.


Note: As the goal for each physical features mapping effort were different, with differing mapping extents, there will be discrepancies between total feature lengths (e.g. length of rock riprap) in each data set.

2001 and 2011 Physical Features Bankline Inventories

Feature Class	Feature Type	2001 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2011 Length (ft)	% of Bankline	2001-2011 Change
Stream Stabilization						
	Rock RipRap	2,667	7.3%	3,754	10.3%	1,086
	Flow Deflectors	768	2.1%	674	1.8%	-94
	Between Flow Deflectors	478	1.3%	522	1.4%	44
	Feature Type Totals	3,914	10.7%	4,950	13.5%	1,037
Floodplain Control						
	Floodplain Dike/Levee	12,431	34.0%	12,431	34.0%	0
	Feature Type Totals	12,431	34.0%	12,431	34.0%	0
	Reach Totals	16,344	44.7%	17,381	47.5%	1,037

ICE JAMS

Ice jam data were obtained from the National Ice Jam Database maintained by the Ice Engineering Group at Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (<https://rsgis.crrel.usace.army.mil/icejam/>). From this database, Yellowstone River ice jams are summarized by reach in the Yellowstone River Historic Events Timeline (DTM and AGI, 2008b). The basic information for each ice jam is presented as a list of events. The graph represents the number of database entries for a reach. Note that a single jam event may have multiple entries.



GEOMORPHIC

The geomorphology data presented below consist of measured changes in Braiding Parameter since 1950 and blocked side channels. Braiding parameter is a measure of the total length of side channels relative to that of the main channel. The braiding parameter is calculated as the sum of anabranching and primary channel lengths divided by the primary channel length. Secondary channels within the bankfull margins are a function of flow stage and hence were not included in the braiding parameter calculation. If a reach has a braiding parameter of 3, then the total bankfull channel length is three times that of the main channel. The mean braiding parameter measured for all 88 reaches is 1.8.

Blocked side channels that were either plugged with a small dike or cutoff by larger features such as a levee or road prism were identified for the pre and post-1950s eras.

Additional geomorphic parameters are discussed in more detail in the study report and appendices.

Braiding (Bankfull)

	Primary Chan. Length (ft)	Anab. Ch. Length (ft)	Bankfull Braiding Parameter	% Change in Braiding
1950	16,592	7,240	1.44	1950 to 1976:
1976				1976 to 1995:
1995				1995 to 2001:
2001	18,301	1,489	1.08	1950 to 2001: -24.71%
Change 1950 - 2001	1,710	-5,751	-0.35	

Length of Side Channels Blocked

Pre-1950s (ft)	7,000
Post-1950s (ft)	1,454

HYDRAULICS

Available hydraulic information includes county-based HEC-RAS modeling efforts by the Army Corps of Engineers with the exclusion of Park County. Floodplain modeling was performed for four conditions representing a developed and undeveloped floodplain, and unregulated and regulated flows for the 1.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500-year events. Park County has limited FEMA hydraulic modeling and was not included in the analysis.

The results of HEC-RAS modeling for the 5 and 100-year flood events were assessed to compare the extents of inundated area for the pristine (undeveloped floodplain, unregulated flows) and developed (developed floodplain, regulated flows) conditions. The data sets provided for each flow condition were unioned in the GIS to identify areas where the inundated extent differed. These areas of human-caused floodplain isolation due to either flow alterations or physical features such as levees. For the 100-year flood event, isolated areas greater than 5 acres were attributed with the interpreted reason for isolation (railroad, levee, etc.). The resulting values are presented as acres and percent of the pristine floodplain that has been isolated. The pristine floodplain is defined as the total floodplain footprint minus the area of the mapped 2001 bankfull channel (mapped islands were included in the floodplain area).

Floodplain Isolation

	100-Year		5-Year	
	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain	Isolated Acres	% of Floodplain
Non-Structural (hydrology, geomorphic, etc.)				
Agriculture (generally relates to field boundaries)				
Agriculture (isolated by canal or large ditch)				
Levee/Riprap (protecting agricultural lands)				
Levee/Riprap (protecting urban, industrial, etc.)				
Railroad				
Abandoned Railroad				
Transportation (Interstate and other roads)				
Total Not Isolated (Ac)				
Total Floodplain Area (Ac)				
Total Isolated (Ac)				

The 5-year floodplain is a good allegory for the extent of the riparian zone. Thus, irrigated areas within the 5-year floodplain tend to represent riparian zones that have been converted to agriculture and may result in additional bank protection to protect the agricultural production and irrigation infrastructure.

	Flood	Sprinkler	Pivot	Total
Irrigated Acres within the 5 Year Flooplain:				

CHANNEL MIGRATION ZONE

A series of Channel Migration Maps were developed for the Yellowstone River from Gardiner to its mouth in McKenzie County, North Dakota (Thatcher, Swindell, and Boyd, 2009). These maps and their accompanying report can be accessed from the YRCDC Website. The channel migration zone (CMZ) developed for the Yellowstone River is defined as a composite area made up of the existing channel, the historic channel since 1950 (Historic Migration Zone, or HMZ), and an Erosion Buffer that encompasses areas prone to channel erosion over the next 100 years. Areas within this CMZ that have been isolated by constructed features such as armor or floodplain dikes are attributed as “Restricted Migration Areas” (RMA). Beyond the CMZ boundaries, outlying areas that pose risks of channel avulsion are identified as “Avulsion Potential Zones”.

Mean 50-Yr Migration Distance (ft)	Erosion Buffer (ft)	Total CMZ Acreage	Restricted CMZ Acreage	% Restricted Migration Area	Total AHZ Acreage	Restricted AHZ Acreage	% Restricted Avulsion Area
235	469	465	94	20%	201	159	79%

2011 Restricted Migration Area Summary

Note that these data reflect the observed conditions in the 2011 aerial photography (NAIP for Park and Sweet Grass Counties, COE for the rest of the river).

Reason for Restriction	Land Use Protected	RMA Acres	Percent of CMZ
Flow Deflectors			
	Non-Irrigated	6	0.9%
Dike/Levee			
	Non-Irrigated	247	37.0%
	Totals	253	37.9%

Land Uses within the CMZ (Acres)

Flood Irrigation	Sprinkler Irrigation	Pivot Irrigation	Urban/ExUrban	Transportation
0.0	27.5	0.0	19.9	0.3

LAND USE

Land uses were mapped from aerial photography Gardiner to the confluence of the Missouri River in North Dakota for four time periods: 1950s, 1976, 2001, and 2011. Mapping was performed at approximately 1:6,000 to ensure consistent mapping across all data sets. Typically, if a feature could not be easily mapped at the target mapping scale, it was not separated out from the adjacent land use.

A four-tiered system was used to allow analysis at a variety of levels. Tier 1 breaks land use into Agricultural and Non-Agricultural uses. Tier two subdivided uses into productive Agricultural Land and Infrastructure for the Agricultural land, and Urban, Exurban and Transportation categories for the Non-Agricultural land. Tier three further breaks down land uses into more refined categories such as Irrigated or Non-Irrigated and Residential, Commercial, or Industrial. Finally, Tier 4 focuses primarily on the productive agricultural lands, identifying the type of irrigation (Pivot, Sprinkler or Flood).

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 2 and 3

Feature Class	Feature Type	Acres				% of Reach Area			
		1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011
Agricultural Infrastructure									
	Canal	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Agricultural Roads	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Infrastructure	31	49	62	55	2.0%	3.2%	4.1%	3.6%
	Totals	31	49	62	55	2.0%	3.2%	4.1%	3.6%
Agricultural Land									
	Non-Irrigated	817	864	895	852	53.6%	56.7%	58.7%	55.9%
	Irrigated	512	425	190	209	33.6%	27.9%	12.5%	13.7%
	Totals	1,330	1,289	1,085	1,061	87.2%	84.5%	71.2%	69.6%
Channel									
	Channel	163	186	214	229	10.7%	12.2%	14.0%	15.0%
	Totals	163	186	214	229	10.7%	12.2%	14.0%	15.0%
ExUrban									
	ExUrban Other	0	0	2	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
	ExUrban Undeveloped	0	0	72	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%
	ExUrban Industrial	0	0	11	11	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.7%
	ExUrban Commercial	0	0	16	16	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%
	ExUrban Residential	0	0	62	150	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	9.9%
	Totals	0	0	163	179	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%	11.7%
Transportation									
	Public Road	1	1	1	1	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	Interstate	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Railroad	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	1	1	1	1	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Urban									
	Urban Other	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Residential	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Commercial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Undeveloped	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Urban Industrial	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Totals	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Land Use Timeline - Tiers 3 and 4

Feature Class	Feature Type	Acres				% of Reach Area				Change Between Years (% of Agricultural Land)			
		1950	1976	2001	2011	1950	1976	2001	2011	'50-76	'76-01	'01-11	'50-11
Irrigated													
	Sprinkler	0	0	56	136	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	12.8%	0.0%	5.1%	7.7%	12.8%
	Pivot	0	100	37	56	0.0%	7.8%	3.4%	5.3%	7.8%	-4.4%	1.9%	5.3%
	Flood	512	325	97	17	38.5%	25.2%	9.0%	1.6%	-13.3%	-16.2%	-7.4%	-36.9%
	Totals	512	425	190	209	38.5%	33.0%	17.5%	19.7%	-5.6%	-15.5%	2.2%	-18.8%

Non-Irrigated

Multi-Use	706	767	613	521	53.1%	59.5%	56.5%	49.1%	6.4%	-3.0%	-7.4%	-4.0%
Hay/Pasture	112	97	282	331	8.4%	7.5%	26.0%	31.2%	-0.9%	18.5%	5.2%	22.8%
Totals	817	864	895	852	61.5%	67.0%	82.5%	80.3%	5.6%	15.5%	-2.2%	18.8%

RIPARIAN

Riparian mapping data are derived from the Yellowstone River Riparian Vegetation Mapping study (DTM/AGI 2008). This study coarsely mapped the riparian vegetation communities using 1950's, 1976-1977, and 2001 aerial imagery in a GIS environment. The polygons are digitized at a scale of approximately 1:7,500, with a minimum mapping unit of approximately 10 acres. The goal of the delineation was to capture areas of similar vegetation structure as they appeared on the aerial imagery, while maintaining a consistent scale.

The "Riparian Turnover" values quantify the total area within the active channel area that converted from either woody vegetation to open bar or water, or from open bar or water to woody vegetation. A comparison of these values allows some consideration of overall riparian encroachment into the river corridor from 1950 to 2001.

WETLANDS

Wetland areas were mapped to National Wetland Inventory standards by the Montana Natural Heritage Program. Palustrine wetlands within the mapped 100-year inundation boundary were extracted and summarized into four categories: Riverine (Unconsolidated Bottom - UB, Aquatic Bed - AB, and Unconsolidated Shore - US), Emergent - EM, Scrub-Shrub - SS, and Forested - FO.

	Riverine	Emergent	Scrub/Shrub	Forested	Total
Mapped Acres	22.5	165.1	49.1	0.0	236.7
Acres/Valley Mile	9.7	71.2	21.1	0.0	

RUSSIAN OLIVE

Russian olive is considered an invasive species and its presence in the Yellowstone River corridor is fairly recent. As such, its spread can be used as a general indicator of invasive plants within the corridor. It has the added benefit of being easily identified in multi-spectral aerial photography, making it possible to inventory large areas using remote techniques.

In 2011, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in Bozeman, MT conducted an inventory of Russian olive locations in the Yellowstone River watershed. This study utilized the Feature Analyst extension within ArcGIS to interpret multi-spectral 2008 NAIP imagery for the presence of Russian olive. The resulting analysis was converted from raster format to a polygon ESRI shape file for distribution and further analysis within a GIS environment.

This work scope was tasked with integrating the resulting Russian olive inventory into the Yellowstone River Conservation Districts Council (YRCDC) Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) GIS and associated reach-based database. Additionally, analysis of Russian olive within the corridor was conducted to characterize its distribution in throughout the corridor and its association with other corridor data sets.

	Floodplain Area (Ac)	% of Floodplain	Other Area (Ac)	Inside RMA (Ac)	Inside '50s Channel (Ac)	Inside 50s Island (Ac)
Russian Olive in Reach	0.14	0.25%	1.46	0.02	0.01	0.00

FISHERIES SUMMARY

Fisheries data available for the Reach Narratives include low-flow and high-flow habitat mapping of 2001 conditions for 406 miles of river, extending from the mouth upstream to a point approximately 8 miles upstream of Park City. Habitat mapping was performed remotely on the 2001 CIR aerial photography utilizing habitat classifications developed by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (DTM 2009). Historic habitat mapping using the 1950's imagery is limited to Reach B1 (high-flow) and D9 (low and high-flow).

Fisheries field sampling data have been provided by Ann Marie Reinhold (MSU). In this study, the Yellowstone River from Park City to Sidney was divided into five segments. Within each segment, fish were sampled in reaches modified by riprap ("treatment reaches") and relatively unmodified reaches ("control reaches"). Fish sampling was conducted during summer and autumn of 2009, 2010, and 2011. Boat electrofishing, trammel nets, mini-fyke nets and bag seines were used to collect data from river bends.

Fish presence data is only presented for those reaches that were sampled.

The Low Flow Habitat Mapping followed schema developed by Montana Fish Wildlife and Parks to identify key habitat units for certain aquatic species.

AVIAN

Birds were sampled in 2006 and 2007 by Danielle Jones of Montana State University. Point count methods were used at 304 randomly chosen sites in 21 braided or anabranching reaches. Each site was visited multiple times within a season, and sites were visited in both years. Birds were sampled in grassland, shrubland, and cottonwood forest habitats. Additional bird data was collected by Amy Cilimburg of Montana Audubon in summer 2012. High priority areas for data collection were identified with the assistance of the YRCDC Technical Advisory Committee. The Audubon methodology recorded data for a wider variety of bird species relative to the MSU study, including raptors and waterfowl.

